

# Sampling Basics

## References:

- ① Blog posts by Gregory Gundersen (several posts on rejection sampling, Metropolis-Hastings algo, Gibbs sampling — see [gregorygundersen.com/blog/](http://gregorygundersen.com/blog/))
- ② A. Brown & G. Jones, Convergence rates of Metropolis-Hastings algorithms, Advanced Review, Wiley 2024
- ③ P. Brémaud, Markov Chains, Springer 2008.
- ④ S. Geman and C. Hwang, Diffusions for Global Optimization, SIAM J. of Control and Optimization, Sep 86.
- ⑤ S. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie, Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability, Springer 2005.
- ⑥ N. Metropolis, A. Rosenbluth, M. Rosenbluth, A. Teller, E. Teller, Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines, The journal of Chemical Physics, 1953.
- ⑦ W. Hastings, Monte Carlo methods using Markov Chains and their applications, Biometrika, 1970.

---

One of the original motivations for sampling was to solve (non-convex) optimization problems.

$\min_x U(x) \longleftrightarrow \text{sample from } \pi_T(x) \propto e^{-U(x)/T}$   
 optimization over  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$T = \text{temperature.}$

$\pi_T(x)$  a dist. over  $\mathbb{R}^d$

as  $T \rightarrow 0$ , the distribution concentrates on the set  $\{x^* : x^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_x U(x)\}$ .

Thus, for small enough  $T$ , samples  $y \sim \pi_T(\cdot)$  will very likely satisfy  $U(y) \approx U(x^*)$ .

- ① Rejection sampling (late 1940s)
- ② Inverse CDF sampling
- ③ Metropolis-Hasting algo (1950s +)
- ④ Gibbs sampling (1940s +)

General setting: We want to sample from some distribution  $\pi^*(x)$  ( $\pi^*(x)$  could be discrete or continuous; in these notes I'll generally stick to continuous but ignore technical details involving changing order of integrals, switching limits/integrals, dominated conv., etc.).

In this note, we assume we know  $\pi^*(x)$ , either exactly (rejection sampling), or up to a scaling

factor (Metropolis-Hastings) or the Score function  $\nabla \ln \pi^*(x)$  (Langevin sampling). By "know", we mean query access  $\rightarrow$  given  $x$ , blackbox returns one of above. In future notes, we will study learning based approaches where we only have access to samples  $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim \pi^*(x)$ .

Rejection sampling Assume  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\pi^*(x)$  a density function. Further assume that we can generate samples from some other known distribution  $g(x)$  such that  $\exists M < \infty$  with  $0 \leq \pi^*(x) \leq M g(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(for instance,  $g(x)$  could be Gaussian, or if  $\pi^*(x)$  has bounded support, then a Uniform sample over the domain).

Algorithm:- (a) Sample  $X \sim g(x)$ .  
(b) Sample  $U \sim \text{Uniform}[0,1]$  ( $X \perp U$ )

(c) Accept  $x$  if  $U \leq \frac{\pi^*(x)}{M g(x)}$ .

else reject the sample  $X$ .

Denote  $A = \mathcal{X} \left\{ U \leq \frac{\pi^*(x)}{M g(x)} \right\}$  (acceptance  
r.v.)

↗ indicator  
function

Claim:  $P(X \in B | A=1) = \int_B \pi^*(x) dx.$

"Pf.:" (with densities)

$$\underbrace{P(X \in [x, x+dx] | A=1)}_{\text{(conditional density function)}} = \frac{P(A=1 | \underbrace{X \in [x, x+dx]}_{\text{}})) \underbrace{P(X \in [x, x+dx])}_{\text{}}}{P(A=1)}$$

$$= \frac{P\left(U \leq \frac{\pi^*(x)}{M g(x)}\right) g(x) dx}{P(A=1)}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^*(x)}{M \cancel{g(x)} \cdot P(A=1)} \cancel{g(x)} dx$$

$$= \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{M P(A=1)} \right)}_{\text{does not depend on } x} \pi^*(x) dx$$

i.e.,  $P(x \approx x | A=1) \propto \pi^*(x)$ .

Since both sides are non-negative and integrate to 1, the proportionality constant must be '1', i.e.,

$$P(A=1) = \frac{1}{M} \quad \text{and} \quad P(x \in B | A=1) = \int_B \pi^*(x) dx$$

□

(We will defer the vector case to after M-H algorithm).

Inverse CDF Sampling: Let  $F^*(x)$  be the CDF of  $\pi^*(x)$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Algorithm:

- (a)  $\gamma \sim g(y)$
- (b)  $z = G(\gamma)$  ( $z \sim \text{Unif}[0,1]$ )
- (c)  $x = F^{*-1}(z)$

$$G(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z g(w) dw.$$

Then,  $x \sim \pi^*(x)$ .

Pf:  $P(Z \leq z) = P(G(Y) \leq z)$

$$= P(Y \leq G^{-1}(z)) = \int_{-\infty}^{G^{-1}(z)} g(x) dx$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dz} P(Z \leq z) = g(G^{-1}(z)) \cdot (G^{-1}(z))'$$

$$= g(G^{-1}(z)) \cdot \frac{1}{G'(G^{-1}(z))}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= G^{-1}(z) \\ \text{i.e., } z &= G(x) \\ \frac{dz}{dx} &= G'(x) \\ \frac{dx}{dz} &= \frac{1}{G'(G^{-1}(z))} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \cancel{g(G^{-1}(z))} \cdot \frac{1}{\cancel{g(G^{-1}(z))}} = 1$$

i.e.,  $Z \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \leq x) &= P(F^{*-1}(Z) \leq x) = P(Z \leq F^*(x)) \\ &= F^*(x), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $X \sim \pi^*(x)$ .



Vector version: Let  $Y \sim g(y)$ ,  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$h(\cdot)$  an invertible function,  $X = h(Y)$ ,  
 $(\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d)$

Then,  $f_x(x) = g(h^{-1}(x)) \cdot |\mathcal{J}(h^{-1}(y))|$  ↖ determinant.

where  $\mathcal{J}_r(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_d}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_d}{\partial x_d} \end{pmatrix}$

Jacobian matrix.

(This is useful when studying Normalizing Flows; we will not cover it in this class).

Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm [6, 7]: Sampling in the setting where we do not have access to  $\pi^*(x)$ . Instead, we only have access to  $C\pi^*(x)$  for some unknown  $C$ .

High level: We construct a discrete-time, and (discrete or continuous) state-space Markov process.

Below, we write the equations for continuous

state-space, but the analogous equations (typically replace  $x \rightarrow i, y \rightarrow j$ ) hold for discrete state-spaces.

The Markov process evolves over time, and the stationary distribution of the M.P. is  $\pi^*(x)$ .

State-space  $S$  (typically  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ).

Transition density:  $p(y|x)$ . (analog of the trans. prob  $p_{ij}$ )

$$p(y|x) dy \approx P(X_{t+1} \in [y, y+dy] | X_t = x).$$

More formally, 
$$P(x, B) = \int_{y \in B} P(y|x) dy.$$

$\downarrow$  transition kernel

$\nearrow \in S$

$\downarrow B \subseteq S$

---

$$\text{e.g., } p(y|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(Gaussian trans. density).

---

Reversibility, A M.P.  $(\pi, p)$  is reversible if

$$\pi(x) p(y|x) = \pi(y) p(x|y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

In this case,  $\pi(\cdot)$  is the stat. dist. of the M.P. (analogous to disc. stat space).

check:  $\int P(x, B) \pi(x) dx = \int \pi(y) dy$   
B.

-----

Aside: When does a unique stat. dist exist for cont. stat-space, discrete time M.P.?

The M.P. is aperiodic, irreducible, positive Harris recurrent (see Meyn & Tweedie book); sufficient condition using Poisson's equation to construct a Lyapunov function.  $V(\cdot)$  satisfying  $E[V(X_{t+1}) | X_t = x]$

$$V(\cdot) \geq 0, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1) \quad \leq \lambda V(x) + C,$$

$\forall x \notin K$   
↪ compact set

-----

Back to M-H Algorithm: Construct a reversible D.T.M.P., whose stst. dist. is  $\pi^*(x)$ ,  $x \in S$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ).

Thus, we need to construct a  $p(y|x)$  s.t.

$$\pi^*(x)p(y|x) = \pi^*(y)p(x|y).$$

Approach:

$q(\cdot|x) \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{y \in S} q(y|x) dy = 1$

Choose  $q(y|x)$ : generating density function

We have access to  $\left( \frac{\pi^*(x)}{\pi^*(y)} \right)$  for any  $(x, y)$ .

If  $\frac{q(y|x)}{q(x|y)} = \frac{\pi^*(y)}{\pi^*(x)}$ , then we are

done. (we would simply choose  $p(y|x) = q(y|x)$ ).

In general, this is not likely to be true.

Construct an acceptance function  $\alpha(x, y)$

$$\text{s.t. } \frac{q(y|x) \alpha(x,y)}{q(x|y) \alpha(y,x)} = \frac{\pi^*(y)}{\pi^*(x)}$$

→ (\*)

$$\text{and } 0 \leq \alpha(x,y) \leq 1 \quad \forall x,y.$$

$$\text{In this case, } p(y|x) = q(y|x) \alpha(x,y).$$

→ \*\*

Notice that for any  $q(\cdot|\cdot)$ , if we choose

$$\alpha(x,y) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{q(x|y)}{q(y|x)} \cdot \frac{\pi^*(y)}{\pi^*(x)} \right\},$$

→ \*\*\*

then (\*) is satisfied. Thus, the D.T.M.P. with  $p(y|x)$  as \*\* will have a stat. dist. of  $\pi^*(\cdot)$ .

Algorithm:

- (a) generate  $Y \sim q(\cdot|x)$
- (b) generate  $U \sim \text{Unif}[0,1]$ .

(c) Compute  $\alpha(x,Y)$  as above.

If  $U \leq \alpha(x, \gamma)$  accept  $\gamma$ ,  
 and  $x \rightarrow \gamma$   
 else reject  $\gamma$ , and  $x \rightarrow x$ .

Let  $A = \mathcal{X} \{ U \leq \alpha(x, \gamma) \}$ . (acceptance  
 r.v.)

Note that the above algo. results in a M.P. For any  
 $y \neq x$ , we have:

$$p(y|x) dy = P(X_{t+1} \in [y, y+dy] | X_t = x)$$

(Notation:  
 $\{\gamma \approx y\} = \{\gamma \in [y, y+dy]\}$ )

$$= \underbrace{P(X_{t+1} \in [y, y+dy] | X_t = x, A=1)}_{= q(y|x) dy} \cdot P(A=1 | X_t = x)$$

$$+ \cancel{P(X_{t+1} \in [y, y+dy] | X_t = x, A=0)} P(A=0 | X_t = x)$$

$= 0$

$$= P(A=1 | X_t = x) \cdot q(y|x) dy$$

$$= \underbrace{P(U \leq \alpha(x, y) | X_t = x)}_{\alpha(x, y)} q(y|x) dy$$

$$= \int g(y|x) \alpha(x,y) dy. \quad \square$$

Rate of convergence: This D.T.M.P converges geometrically fast in time if we can construct a Lyapunov function  $V(\cdot)$  as discussed above.

(See [3] for more details; Meyn & Tweedie's book [5]).

We can then establish:

$$d_{TV}(P_t, \pi^*) \leq \rho^t \quad \text{for some } \rho \in (0,1)$$

↳ density at time  $t$ .

This looks great, but not clear what the dimension dependence of  $\rho$  is. In general, it is very bad ( $\rho$  can be very close to '1', with the dependence being exponential in  $d$ , if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ )

**Gibbs Sampler**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , sample  $x \sim \pi^*(x)$   
 (can be viewed as a special choice of  $q(\cdot|\cdot)$   
 in the Metropolis-Hastings sampler such that  
 $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot) = 1$ , i.e., no rejections.

$$\pi^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \pi^*(x_i \mid \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d}^{x_{-i}})$$

$$= \pi^*(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$

Algorithm: Suppose  $X^{(t)} = (X_1^{(t)}, X_2^{(t)}, \dots, X_d^{(t)})$

- (a) **Pick a random coordinate:**  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ ,  
 $v \sim \tau(v)$ , s.t.  $\tau(v) > 0 \forall v = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .
- (b) **Update the random coordinate:**

$$X^{(t+1)} = (X_1^{(t+1)}, X_2^{(t+1)}, \dots, X_d^{(t+1)}), \text{ where}$$

$$X_v^{(t+1)} \sim \pi^*(x_v \mid X_{-v}^{(t)}), \quad X_{-v}^{(t)} = (X_1^{(t)}, \dots, X_{v-1}^{(t)}, X_{v+1}^{(t)}, \dots, X_d^{(t)}).$$

For all other coordinates,  $X_w^{(t+1)} = X_w^{(t)}$ .

Observe that for  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ , the next step  $y$  is of the form  $y = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{v-1}, y_v, x_{v+1}, \dots, x_d)$

Depending on  $y$ ,  $q(y|x)$  is one of the following:

For  $y$  s.t. the  $v^{\text{th}}$  coordinate changes,

$$q(y|x) = r(v) \cdot \pi^*(y_v | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{v-1}, x_{v+1}, \dots, x_d) \cdot \chi_{\{y_v = x_v\}}.$$

Now, observe for any  $v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*(x) q(y|x) &= \pi^*(x) \cdot r(v) \cdot \frac{\pi^*(\overbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, y_v, \dots, x_d}^y)}{\int \pi^*(x_1, \dots, z, x_{v+1}, \dots, x_d) dz} \\ &= \frac{\pi^*(x) \cdot \pi^*(y) \cdot r(v)}{\tilde{\pi}^*(x_1, \dots, x_{v-1}, x_{v+1}, \dots, x_d)} \end{aligned}$$

marginalize out  $x_v$ .

Similarly  $\pi^*(y)q(x|y) = \pi^*(y)r(v) \cdot \frac{\pi^*(x)}{\pi^*(y_1, \dots, y_{v-1}, y_{v+1}, \dots, y_d)}$

$= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{v-1}, x_{v+1}, \dots, x_d)$

because  $x_i = y_i \quad \forall i \neq v$ .

$$\therefore \pi^*(x)q(y|x) = \pi^*(y)q(x|y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(x, y) = 1$$

